



September 15, 2025

The Honorable Gavin Newsom, Governor
1021 O Street, Suite 9000
Sacramento, CA 95814

Subject: SB 682 (Allen): Support

Dear Governor Newsom,

The California Association of Sanitation Agencies (CASA) is proud to co-sponsor and strongly support SB 682 (Allen), which seeks to eradicate harmful forever chemicals from cleaning products, cookware, juvenile products, food packaging, ski wax, and dental floss. The undersigned coalition strongly endorses this vital policy effort to reduce human health impacts and environmental exposure to these chemicals. Consistent with our coalition's core missions of both protecting public health and the environment and maintaining affordable essential public services, SB 682 is the most cost-efficient method for reducing baseline concentrations of PFAS in our water, wastewater and waste management processes.

In recent years, Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) have become a topic of public concern due to their high mobility and resistance to breaking down naturally as well as the persistent detection of PFAS compounds in people's bodies and in the environment. A statewide source control approach is necessary to systematically remove PFAS from the stream of commerce, including in products which have a direct pathway to our watersheds and waste management systems.

Often referred to as "forever chemicals," PFAS chemicals are both ubiquitous and indestructible. Removing PFAS at the end of their life cycle does not address the problem of ongoing exposure to the general public to PFAS from everyday products. In limited cases, PFAS can be removed from water and wastewater through advanced treatment technology. However, there is currently no technologically feasible method for the large-scale destruction of PFAS compounds. Instead, once removed, PFAS residuals are merely displaced to another waste stream to cycle back through the waste management process or transferred to a different environmental media. This is why SB 682's focus on stopping PFAS at its source is vital.

PFAS chemicals are included in many products where they are safer alternatives available. As an example, ceramic cookware is available that shares the non-stick capabilities for a comparable price. This bill specifically targets consumer products where the use of PFAS chemicals is not essential or there are other options readily available.

While in some very limited cases, the source of PFAS contamination can be identified and addressed through industrial pre-treatment programs or other similar mechanisms, this is not generally the case. In 2020, the State Water Resources Control Board issued a statewide monitoring and reporting order that required wastewater agencies to monitor and report for PFAS in influent, effluent and biosolids. Monitoring data from this effort demonstrates that domestic discharges (residential and commercial influent) is the predominant pathway of PFAS entering wastewater systems, meaning that products people are using in their homes and businesses are contributing PFAS to wastewater systems through everyday uses that are not controllable through local enforcement or industrial pretreatment programs.

Moreover, regulatory efforts are underway that could have serious consequences for clean water agencies and their ratepayers. Last year, USEPA adopted Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs) for 6 PFAS chemicals in drinking water, and the State Water Resources Control Board has stated its intent to begin a proceeding this year to adopt a statewide MCL for PFAS consistent with the adopted Federal MCL. Drinking water MCLs are highly consequential for the regulated water community, including wastewater agencies, as they are often incorporated "by reference" into existing Basin Plans, thus imposing de facto limits for discharges to certain bodies of water. Removing PFAS from the stream

of commerce before it enters our waterways and waste treatment systems is the most cost-effective way to address this issue.

Finally, as local public agencies begin the process of preparing for implementation of new and proposed PFAS regulations, affordability of essential services is a critical consideration. USEPA estimates that for drinking water systems to comply with the newly imposed Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) for a handful of PFAS chemicals will result in annual cost impacts surpassing \$1 billion. Water industry leaders contend these costs are likely much higher and could surpass \$3 billion annually, and do not account for financial impacts beyond the drinking water system requirements. These are all costs that will be borne by California utility ratepayers.


For these reasons, the meaningful and comprehensive source control and pollution prevention strategy presented in SB 682 is the most cost effective and appropriate approach to reducing PFAS pollution in the environment.

CASA strongly supports SB 682 and requests your signature on this important bill. We would be eager to discuss SB 682 in more detail with members of your Administration at their earliest convenience.

Sincerely,



Jessica Gauger
Director of Legislative Advocacy & Public
Affairs
California Association of Sanitation Agencies



Brenley McKenna
Managing Director
WaterResue CA



Karen Cowan
Executive Director
California Stormwater Quality Association



Andrea Abergel
Director of Water
California Municipal Utilities Association



Michael Moore



Melissa Sparks-Kranz
Legislative Advocate
League of California Cities



Nick Blair
Senior Policy Advocate
Association of California Water Agencies



Lorien Fono
Executive Director
Bay Area Clean Water Agencies



Aaron Avery
Director of State Legislative Affairs
California Special Districts Association



General Manager/CEO
East Valley Water District



Greg Thomas
General Manager
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District



Jordan Damerel, PE
General Manager/District Engineer
Fairfield-Suisun Sewer District




Robert C. Ferrante
Chief Engineer & General Manager
Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts



Mike McCullough, MPA
Director of External Affairs
Monterey One Water



Teresa Herrera, P.E.
Manager
Silicon Valley Clean Water



Jason Dafforn, P.E.
General Manager
Valley Sanitary District



Jason A. Martin
General Manager
Rancho California Water District



Krista Bernasconi
Mayor
City of Roseville

Jackie Zipkin
General Manager
East Bay Dischargers Authority



Joe Mouawad, P.E.
General Manager
Eastern Municipal Water District



Shivaji Deshmukh, P.E.
General Manager
Inland Empire Utilities Agencies



Dave Pedersen
General Manager
Las Virgenes Municipal Water District



Rob Thompson
General Manager
Orange County Sanitation District



Mark Tomko
General Manager
Vallejo Flood & Wastewater District




Craig D. Miller, P.E.
General Manager
Western Municipal Water District




Roger S. Bailey
General Manager
Central Contra Costa Sanitary District



Steve Jepsen
Executive Director
Clean Water SoCal



Kimberly A. Thorner
General Manager
Olivenhain Municipal Water District




Carlos Quintero
General Manager
Sweetwater Authority



Caity Maple
Councilmember – District 5
City of Sacramento



Jan R. Lee
General Manager
Dublin San Ramon Services District



Christoph Dobson
General Manager
Sacramento Area Sewer District



Glenn Berch, P.E.
General Manager
Jurupa Community Services District



David Newman
Mayor
City of Thousand Oaks



Sarah Zarate
Director
City of San Jose



Curtis Larkin
Chair
SWANA California Chapters Legislative Task
Force



Paul Cook
General Manager
Irvine Ranch Water District