

November 2, 2020

Peter Bergquist OPP Docket Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC) (28221T) 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW. Washington, DC 20460–0001

Subject: Polixetonium chloride (Busan 77) – Draft Risk Assessment (EPA–HQ–OPP–2015–0256)

Dear Mr. Bergquist:

On behalf of the Bay Area Clean Water Agencies (BACWA), we thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Risk Assessment for polixetonium chloride (Busan 77), which is used in swimming pools, spas, and fountains. BACWA's members include 55 publicly owned wastewater treatment facilities and collection system agencies serving 7.1 million San Francisco Bay Area residents. We take our responsibilities for safeguarding receiving waters seriously.

BACWA is concerned that the Draft Risk Assessment did not examine risks associated with discharges of swimming pool, spa, hot tub, and fountain water treated with polixetonium chloride. Due to the presence of chemicals that are toxic to aquatic organisms, water regulators and municipal urban runoff programs are working to prevent discharges of antimicrobial-treated swimming pool, spa, hot tub, and fountain water to the storm drain system, instead shifting discharges to the wastewater collection (sewer) system. In the paragraphs below, we outline why these discharges are a concern and request risk management through updated label language for this pesticide.

Due to expected significant dilution with other wastewaters in the collection system, BACWA is not concerned about polixetonium chloride discharges to sanitary sewers from treated swimming pools, spas, hot tubs, and fountains. Our comments focus on the issue of draining location and flow rates when draining treated water to the wastewater collection system. We are writing to request that the polixetonium chloride Registration Review decision follow the precedent for improved labels for swimming pool, spa, hot tub, and fountain products that was established by the decisions for other antimicrobials with these uses, such as lithium hypochlorite and copper. In those Registration Review decisions, EPA worked carefully through the various issues to develop practical label language that mitigates possible aquatic impacts from discharge of treated water while preventing excess flows into sewer collection systems.

BACWA's Interest in Pool, Spa, and Hot Tub Pesticides

Using California Department of Pesticide Regulation's (DPR's) Product/Label database,¹ BACWA identified two pool/hot tub/fountain treatment products containing polixetonium chloride. According to DPR's pesticides sales data, >2.97 million pounds of polixetonium chloride were sold in California in 2018, the most recent year for which data are available.²

Pools and large fountains may be emptied for cleaning every two to seven years and spas may be drained as often as every three months.³ The water is discharged to storm drain systems, to sanitary sewer lines flowing to wastewater treatment facilities, or to surrounding landscaped areas. However, neither storm drain systems nor wastewater treatment facilities are necessarily prepared to handle antimicrobials and conventional pesticides in water.

Due to concerns about these constituents flowing untreated to surface waters and Clean Water Act NPDES permit requirements, many California stormwater agencies are directing pool, spa, hot tub, and fountain owners to discharge to their local sanitary sewer. Many wastewater agencies support this practice because some constituents, such as pH and suspended solids, may be effectively reduced through treatment; however, wastewater treatment plants are not specifically designed to remove pesticides. Some antimicrobials, if discharged in sufficient quantities, have potential to interfere with the biological treatment processes at municipal wastewater treatment plants. Additionally, while some agencies have the resources to work with institutional, public and commercial swimming pool operators regarding swimming pool best management practices and the types of pool chemicals they use, the vast majority of swimming pools are privately owned residential pools, the owners of which are not easily reached. With approximately 1.2 million in-ground pools in California and 5 million pools nationwide⁴, and countless more spas, hot tubs, and fountains, wastewater agencies have limited authority and resources to regulate the frequency, volume and constituents of discharges.

Further, while this is not a pesticide regulatory issue, high-flow swimming pool discharges to the sanitary sewer can cause a sewer back-up, potentially spilling untreated sewage onto streets and into storm drains, which could also create an acute hazard. Maintaining low flow rates (e.g., discharge through a garden hose rather than a fire hose) prevents such problems.

BACWA Requests Revised Labeling as a Mitigation Measure

BACWA requests that the current polixetonium chloride label language for any pool, spa, hot tub, and fountain products be changed to match the lithium hypochlorite and copper compounds labels, which would also provide consistent label language across pool, spa, hot tub, and fountain chemicals.

"Discharge Directions for [Commercial] and [Residential] [Pool,] [Spa,] [Hot Tub,] and [Fountain] Uses: Before draining a treated [pool,] [spa,] [hot tub,] or [fountain] contact your local sanitary sewer and storm drain authorities and follow their discharge

¹ <u>https://apps.cdpr.ca.gov/docs/label/labelque.cfm</u>

² https://www.cdpr.ca.gov/docs/mill/nopdsold.htm

³ Pool Corp (2016). Frequently Asked Questions. Available at http://www.swimmingpool.com/faq.

⁴ P.K. Data, Inc. (2012). Phone conversation with staff member Joshua Darling, August 15, 2016.

BACWA Comments on Draft Risk Assessment for Polixetonium chloride (Busan 77) Docket ID Number EPA–HQ–OPP–2015–0256 p. 3

instructions. Do not discharge treated [pool,] [spa,] [hot tub,] or [fountain] water to any location that flows to a gutter, storm drain or natural water body unless discharge is allowed by state and local authorities."

We have attached our comment letter on the proposed Registration Review decision for lithium hypochlorite, which details the importance of the discharge control label language – including the discharge prohibition in the second sentence.

For all swimming pool, spa, hot tub, and fountain products, including those containing polixetonium chloride, we also recommend that the "Environmental Hazards" label statements be applied on the basis of product end use rather than product size. This would mimic EPA's decision for lithium hypochlorite and copper products. As explained in our attached lithium hypochlorite comments, this approach avoids potential conflicting language on product labels.

Thank you for your consideration of our comments. If you have any questions, please contact BACWA's Project Managers:

Autumn Cleave

(415) 695-7336

acleave@sfwater.org

San Francisco Public Utilities Commission

Karin North City of Palo Alto (650) 329-2104 Karin.north@cityofpaloaloalto.org

Respectfully Submitted,

Yorien form

Lorien Fono, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Director Bay Area Clean Water Agencies

cc: Edward Messina, Acting Director, EPA OPP Anita Pease, Director, Antimicrobials Division, EPA OPP Elissa Reaves, Acting Director, Pesticide Re-Evaluation Division Rose Kyprianou, RMB II, Antimicrobials Div. Melissa Panger, Acting Branch Chief, Antimicrobials Div., Risk Asses. & Science David Bays, Risk Assess. and Science Support Branch, Antimicrobials Division Jim Breithaupt, Risk Assess. and Science Support Branch, Antimicrobials Division Timothy Dole, Risk Assess. and Science Support Branch, Antimicrobials Division Jorge G. Muniz, Risk Assess. and Science Support Branch, Antimicrobials Division Siroos Mostaghimi, Risk Assess. and Science Support Branch, Antimicrobials Division Timothy Leighton, Risk Assess. and Science Support Branch, Antimicrobials Division Judy Facey, Antimicrobials Division, Risk Asses. & Science Andrew Sawyers, Director, EPA Office of Water, Office of Wastewater Management Tomas Torres, Director, Water Division, EPA Region 9 Debra Denton, EPA Region 9 Patti TenBrook, EPA Region 9

BACWA Comments on Draft Risk Assessment for Polixetonium chloride (Busan 77) Docket ID Number EPA–HQ–OPP–2015–0256 p. 4

Karen Mogus, California State Water Resources Control Board Philip Crader, California State Water Resources Control Board Rich Breuer, California State Water Resources Control Board Tom Mumley, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region Janet O'Hara, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region James Parrish, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, SF Bay Region Debbie Phan, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, SF Bay Region Jennifer Teerlink, California Department of Pesticide Regulation Aniela Burant, California Department of Pesticide Regulation Kelly D. Moran, Urban Pesticides Pollution Prevention Partnership Chris Hornback, National Association of Clean Water Agencies Cynthia Finley, National Association of Clean Water Agencies BACWA Pesticides Workgroup BACWA Executive Board