SF Bay Area IRWMP Coordinating Committee

www.bayareairwmp.org

Monday, January 28, 2019, 1:00 pm – 2:30 pm
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, 525 Golden Gate Ave, San Francisco, CA 94102
13th Floor Hetch Hetchy Conference Room
Conference Call Dial-in: 515-604-9996; Access Code: 555505

Meeting Objectives:
- Receive update on status of IRWM Prop 84 grant rounds
- Discuss approach to Prop 1 IRWM DACI grant administration
- Receive update on Prop 1 IRWM DAC Involvement funds work
- Discuss approach to Prop 1 Implementation

Agenda:

1:00 – 1:05 Welcome and Introductions

1:05 – 1:20 Status on Prop 84 Rounds 1 - 4
- Round 1 completion
- Update on status of Round 2, Drought Round 3, and 2015 Round 4
- AQPI update

1:20 – 1:25 Addition of Stormwater Resource Plans to the Plan
- Adoption of the Santa Clara Basin Stormwater Resources Plan

1:25 – 1:40 Approach to Prop 1 IRWM DACI Grant Administration
- Status of invoicing and contracting to date

1:40 – 2:05 Approach to Prop 1 IRWM DAC Involvement Funds
- Outreach partner presentation from Nuestra Casa
- Update on needs assessment
- Update on Tribal outreach

2:05 – 2:25 Discussion of Approach to Prop 1 Implementation
- Discuss approach for grantee and grant application
- Discuss participation in the Project Scoring Committee

2:25 – 2:30 Announcements and Next Steps
- Action items from meeting
- Future meeting times and locations

Attachments:
1. December 3, 2018 CC Meeting Summary
2. Summary update on IRWM grant rounds
3. AQPI update
4. Draft Prop 1 Implementation schedule
5. Members of the Project Scoring Committee (PSC)
6. Roundtable of Regions comment letter on California Water Plan Update 2018
7. Schedule of future CC meetings
SF Bay Area IRWMP Coordinating Committee Meeting Summary
December 3, 2018
Location: State Coastal Conservancy, 1515 Clay Street, Oakland, CA

1. Roll Call – Appointed Functional Area Representatives Present

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water Supply-Water Quality</th>
<th>Wastewater-Recycled Water</th>
<th>Flood Protection-Stormwater</th>
<th>Watershed Protection</th>
<th>Disadvantaged Communities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Mark Seedall, CCWD</td>
<td>• Cheryl Munoz, SFPUC (representing BACWA by phone)</td>
<td>• Brian Mendenhall, SCVWD (by phone)</td>
<td>• Josh Bradt, SFEP (by phone)</td>
<td>• Brenda Buxton, SCC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Others Present:

Nicki Alexander, Friends of Sausal Creek
Devon Becker, ACWD
Maddie Duda, EJCW
Chris Dundon, CCWD
Jarrad Fisher, San Mateo RCD
Nahal Ghoghaie, EJCW
Paul Gilbert-Snyder
Richard Harris, EBMUD
Ryan Hirano, EJCW
Tiffany Margulici, EB Parks
James Muller, SFEP
Claire Nordlie, EBMUD
Michelle Novotny, SFPUC
Anna Marie Schmidt, Friends of Sausal Creek
Britton Schwartz, EJCW
Jake Spaulding, SCWA
Chelsea Spier, DWR

By Phone:

Jessica Arm, DWR
Kaitlyn Byrne, City of Hayward
Jacques DeBra, EKI Environment and Water
Natasha Dunn, SFEP
Maggie Dutton, CCWD
Warner Chabot, SFEI
Robyn Navarra, Zone 7
Matt Sagues, MMWD
Alex Tavizon, CIEA
1. Status of Round 1, Round 2, Drought Round, 2015 Round 4

For Prop 84 Round 1, Josh Bradt gave an update. He is working with the local project sponsors to collect outstanding deliverables. DWR and SFEP conducted site visits. SFEP submitted final amendment language for the grant agreement that is being reviewed by DWR.

For Round 2, Q15 documents were uploaded to DWR October 31. Final execution of the invoice is expected in early December. Project 7 Oakland Sausal Creek Restoration received their retention payment on November 20. SFEP is currently working on the sixth amendment to the grant, which will include multiple projects. The amendment is expected to be complete in December.

For Round 3, Q11 is expected to be executed in early December. Q12 documents were submitted in November and are under review. Q10 payments to local project sponsors were sent out October 30. SFEP is processing an amendment to extend the grant to December 31, 2020.

Additionally, SFEP has started working towards reallocating $705,000 in admin funds via a subsequent amendment. MMWD and San Mateo RCD each requested a portion of these funds to demonstrate additional benefits for the region. MMWD proposed to increase their AMI installations. San Mateo RCD proposed to repair a leaking reservoir. Both proposals were discussed at Project Selection Committee (PSC). The PSC discussed over 2 calls and all members agreed to split the money between the two organizations, $350,000 to MMWD and $352,500 to San Mateo RCD. It was noted that James Muller reached out to all other projects in Round 3 and no other projects submitted proposals for the funds to demonstrate additional benefits for the region. No one opposed the proposal and it passed unanimously at the Coordinating Committee.

For Round 4, Q8 documents were submitted on October 15 by local project sponsors and are under review by SFEP. Q7 payment was executed on October 12 and payment from DWR is expected in January. SFEP is working with local project sponsors to amend the scope, budget, and schedule with expected extension to 2022.

Jake Spaulding gave an update on AQPI. Report and invoice #7 is under review at SCWA. Other developments with AQPI are included in the meeting attachments.

Action Items:

- James Muller will work with DWR to start the reallocation of Round 3 leftover admin funds to MMWD and San Mateo RCD.

2. Approach to Prop 1 IRWM DAC Involvement Funds

Ryan Hirano was introduced as the new Bay Area Program Coordinator.
EJCW is preparing Q5 documents for submittal to DWR. EJCW has been receiving feedback from the outreach partners on the invoicing process and in response will be implementing a streamlined invoicing process soon.

Almost all outreach partners are under contract. It is hoped that CIEA will be under contract within the next week.

Maddie Duda provided an update on the program work related to the needs assessment. EJCW held listening sessions with Keep Coyote Creek Beautiful in San Jose at Vietnamese Cultural Center and with the Dillon Beach community. Many other partners are getting started on their needs assessments. She will have broader report out to the group in January.

The Mountain Counties IRWM Funding Area held a DACTIP lessons learned summit in Tahoe in November. The Funding Areas convened to discuss how each region is implementing the DAC funding.

Ms. Duda clarified that listening sessions are focus groups with the intention of listening to the community by asking open-ended questions. They are water focused and specific to the program.

Nicki Alexander gave a presentation on Sausal Creek’s outreach work. She is the education and outreach coordinator with Friends of Sausal Creek (FOSC). FOSC is a grassroots organization aimed at preserving and restoring the watershed by connecting surrounding communities to the watershed. FOSC manages an environmental education program as well as infrastructure projects, including the Oakland Dimond Park daylighting and Erosion Control Project (Prop 84) projects in Dimond Park and Dimond Canyon in Oakland.

For the DACITP, FOSC is focused on the Fruitvale neighborhood, where they have had previous experience through the Walkable Watershed project. However, they recognize that previous efforts didn’t have enough community involvement. They hope to deepen their connections with DACs and engage more community contribution in the process.

Fruitvale is made up of Latinos predominantly. The area has experienced an economic boost from the business improvement district, but green space is limited. The creek is mostly underground and people are unaware that the storm drains connect to the creek, which impacts trout when people dump into the storm drains.

FOSC has started engaging the community on how they envision a walkable watershed during local events including the Cinco de mayo and Dia de los Muertos festivals. At local events, the overwhelming feedback from the community is that trash and access to green space are the biggest issues. FOSC is engaging with other local organizations including Unity Council, Brothers on the Rise, IPOC, Keep Oakland Beautiful, and Josie De La Cruz recreation center.

Action Items:

- Ms. Duda will share a summary of the DACITP lessons learned summit in Tahoe.
3. **Discussion of Approach to Prop 1 Implementation**

Brian Mendenhall reported that the Planning and Process (PnP) subcommittee received 47 forms from projects after an initial, optional solicitation for projects. The PnP received 16 proposals for projects in the east sub-region, 13 in the west sub-region, 7 in the south sub-region, 7 in the north sub-region, and 4 regional proposals. The total grant request was $267 million. The group is considering only funding $23 million this round, split between roughly 10 projects, so several projects will not be funded. The PnP is reviewing to make sure they meet eligibility requirements, including local match, CEQA timeline requirements, lifecycle benefit, etc. The PnP will respond back to proposals with feedback.

A proposed schedule for the approach to Prop 1 Implementation is included in the meeting packet.

Mr. Mendenhall asked if EJCW has the capacity to review projects during the formal solicitation for projects to check if projects are truly benefitting DACs. It was unclear on several of the projects.

There was discussion about requesting a change from DWR in the amount of funding available in Round 1 from the default. There was comment to defer the 10% dedicated to DAC implementation to Round 2 to leverage the knowledge and potential for projects coming out of the DACTIP. There was another comment that since the funds rollover from Round 1 or Round 2, it would be better if the amount available in Round 1 isn’t limited.

There was discussion regarding participation on the PSC. The PSC reviews and scores project proposals from the formal solicitation and develops a list of projects to recommend for funding to the CC. Each member scores every project, so it’s a significant time investment. It is anticipated that the scoring process will occur in March-April. The deadline for requesting to participate on the PSC is the next CC meeting, January 28. It was acknowledged that there should be DAC representation on the PSC. EJCW commented they plan to have a staff member participate. Mr. Tavizon reported that there are tribal members interested in being part of the PSC.

There was discussion about selecting a grantee for the round. SFEP is willing to be the grant administrator. East Bay Parks District is also interested in administering the grant. The deadline to state interest in administering the grant is the next CC meeting, January 28.

In the past, the region has used a consultant to prepare the grant application. The projects that are recommended for funding paid their proportional share for the consultant.

**Action Items:**
- Taylor Chang will follow up with Steve Ritchie about requesting a July workshop date with DWR.
- Mr. Tavizon will reach out to the Tribal members that are interested in participating on the PSC to see if they can attend the January CC meeting.
### Ag/DWR Grant Agreement #4600010575 - Round 2

**Quarterly Status:** Q45 documents were approved and executed in early January.

**Payments:** Q45 payment expected to go out to LPS in March. Retention for project 6 paid in December. Retention for project 5 approved and payment to LPS expected in March/April.

**Amenments:** SFEP has submitted all documents for Amendment 6 and is awaiting DWR review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project # and Title</th>
<th>Project Sponsor</th>
<th>Construction Implementation Status</th>
<th>Project Completion Site Visit Date</th>
<th>Project Engineer's Cert of Completion RCD (Y/N)</th>
<th>Submission Date for Final Invoice</th>
<th>Project Completion Report Status</th>
<th>Retention Requested (Y/N)</th>
<th>Retention Paid (Y/N)</th>
<th>Post-Performance Report # Submitted</th>
<th>Anticipated Date Retention Release/Invoice Issued to DWR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01_Bay Area Regional Water Conservation &amp; Education Program</td>
<td>Colusa County Water Agency</td>
<td>100% Not Scheduled</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Jan-19</td>
<td>Draft #1 March 2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>None to Date</td>
<td>Jul-19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02_East Bay Regional Water Project Phase 1A (Emeryville)</td>
<td>EBRAEUS</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Nov-16</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Submitted</td>
<td>Final Approved by DWR</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>#1 closed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03_Lagunitas Creek Watershed Sediment Reduction &amp; Fish Passage Barrier Removal</td>
<td>Marin Municipal WD</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Nov-17</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>None to Date</td>
<td>May-19</td>
<td>None to Date</td>
<td>None to Date</td>
<td>May-19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04_Marin/Sonomoma Conserving our Watersheds, Agricultural BMPs</td>
<td>Marin RCD</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Nov-17</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Feb-18</td>
<td>Draft #1 Spring 2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>None to Date</td>
<td>May-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05_Vaca Mi Valley Flood Damage Reduction &amp; Fish Passage Barrier Removal</td>
<td>County of Napa</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Feb-18</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Submitted</td>
<td>None to Date</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>None to Date</td>
<td>Nov/Dec 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06_Sixth St. East &amp; McGill Road Recycled Water</td>
<td>Sonoma County</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Nov-17</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Feb-18</td>
<td>None to Date</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>None to Date</td>
<td>May-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07_Oakland Technology Corridor Floodplain Improvement &amp; Habitat Restoration</td>
<td>City of Oakland</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Jan-19</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Submitted</td>
<td>Final Approved by DWR</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>None to Date</td>
<td>May-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08_Roseview Heights Mutual Water Company</td>
<td>Marin Municipal WD</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Feb-18</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Submitted</td>
<td>Final Approved by DWR</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>#2 closed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09_Roseview Heights Mutual Water Company</td>
<td>Marin Municipal WD</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Jan-19</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Submitted</td>
<td>Final Approved by DWR</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>#2 closed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10_San Rafael Creek Flume Improvement &amp; Habitat Restoration</td>
<td>City of San Rafael</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Mar-19</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>April 2019</td>
<td>Final Approved by DWR</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>None to Date</td>
<td>May-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11_Roseview Heights Mutual Water Company</td>
<td>Marin Municipal WD</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Feb-18</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Submitted</td>
<td>Final Approved by DWR</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>#2 closed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12_Roseview Heights Mutual Water Company</td>
<td>Marin Municipal WD</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Jan-19</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Submitted</td>
<td>Final Approved by DWR</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>#2 closed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grant Term:** December 31, 2020

**Match Funds Documented:** $24,963,012.75 (99.4%)

**Critical Milestone achieved since last meeting.**

---

### Ag/DWR Grant Agreement #4600010883 - Round 3 (Drought Round)

**Quarterly Status:** Q45 invoice was executed in December 2018. Q45 invoice under review. Execution expected in January.

**Payments:** Q45 payments to LPS are expected to go out in April.

**Amenments:** SFEP will process Amendment #5 to reallocate $702,500 in admin funds after #4 is complete.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project # and Title</th>
<th>Project Sponsor</th>
<th>Construction Implementation Status</th>
<th>Project Completion Site Visit Date</th>
<th>Project Engineer's Cert of Completion RCD (Y/N)</th>
<th>Submission Date for Final Invoice</th>
<th>Project Completion Report Status</th>
<th>Retention Requested (Y/N)</th>
<th>Retention Paid (Y/N)</th>
<th>Post-Performance Report # Submitted</th>
<th>Anticipated Date Retention Release/Invoice Issued to DWR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01_Lower Cherry Aqueduct Emergency Rehabilitation</td>
<td>SFPUW</td>
<td>60% None to Date</td>
<td>June 7</td>
<td>Aug-16</td>
<td>Y Submitted</td>
<td>Final Approved by DWR</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>#2 closed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02_Lower 3 Water Supply Drought Preparedness</td>
<td>Marin Municipal WD</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Aug-16</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Submitted</td>
<td>Final Approved by DWR</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>#2 closed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03_Lower Cherry Aqueduct Emergency Rehabilitation</td>
<td>SFPUW</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Aug-16</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Submitted</td>
<td>Final Approved by DWR</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>#2 closed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04_Sonoma County Recycled Water Project</td>
<td>Santa Clarita Valley WD</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Aug-16</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Submitted</td>
<td>Final Approved by DWR</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>#2 closed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05_Desilting &amp; Water Supply Improvement</td>
<td>City of Santa Clara</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Aug-16</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Submitted</td>
<td>Final Approved by DWR</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>#2 closed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06_Desilting &amp; Water Supply Improvement</td>
<td>City of Santa Clara</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Aug-16</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Submitted</td>
<td>Final Approved by DWR</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>#2 closed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07_Drought Relief for South Coast San Mateo County</td>
<td>Santa Barbara County WD</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Aug-16</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Submitted</td>
<td>Final Approved by DWR</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>#2 closed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08_Drought Relief Grant for San Mateo County</td>
<td>Santa Clara County WD</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Aug-16</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Submitted</td>
<td>Final Approved by DWR</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>#2 closed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09_Bay Area Regional Drought Relief Conservation Program</td>
<td>San Francisco Bay Area Water Agency</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Aug-16</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Submitted</td>
<td>Final Approved by DWR</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>#2 closed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10_WaterSMART Irrigation with AMI/AMR</td>
<td>Marin Municipal WD</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Aug-16</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Submitted</td>
<td>Final Approved by DWR</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>#2 closed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11_Grant Administration</td>
<td>ABAG</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Aug-16</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Submitted</td>
<td>Final Approved by DWR</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>#2 closed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grant Term:** September 30, 2020

**Match Funds Documented:** $24,963,012.75 (99.4%)
**ABAG/DWR Grant Agreement #4600011486 - Round 4**

**Quarterly Status:** Q8 was executed by DWR 1/7/19.

**Payments:** Q7 payment from the state is expected in January, 2019. Payment to LPSs expected in January. Q8 payment from state expected in April

**Amendments:** SFEP is working with LPS’s to amend the scope, budget, and schedule. Expected extension to 2022.

**Site Visits:** SFEP went to the project completion event on site 12/14/2019

**Grant Term:** December 31, 2020

---

**Payments:**
- **Q7** from the state is expected in January, 2019.
- **Q8** payment from state expected in April 2020.

**Underway:** 7 (3 over 50%)  
**Total Match:** $22,395,709  
**Grant Funds Spent:** $3,177,877.55 (14.8%)  
**Match Funds Documented:** $0 (0%) *

---

**Project # and Title**  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Sponsor</th>
<th>Construction Administration Status</th>
<th>Project Completion Site Visit Date</th>
<th>Engineer's Cert of Completion Received</th>
<th>Submission Date for Final Invoice</th>
<th>Project Completion Report Status</th>
<th>Retention Requested (Y/N)</th>
<th>Retention Paid (Y/N)</th>
<th>Post-Performance Report # Submitted</th>
<th>Anticipated Date Retention Release</th>
<th>Invoice Issued to DWR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01 Grant Administraion</td>
<td>ABAG</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Jun-21</td>
<td>Jan-21</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Aug-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 Anderson Dam Seismic Retrofit</td>
<td>Santa Clara Valley WD</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
<td>March 2022</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>None to Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 Marin 2020 Turf Replacement</td>
<td>Marin Municipal WD</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>None to Date</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Sep-19</td>
<td>draft #1 September 2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>None to Date</td>
<td>Apr-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 East Palo Alto Groundwater Supply</td>
<td>City of East Palo Alto</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>Aug-18</td>
<td>Jul-18</td>
<td>Aug-18</td>
<td>draft #1 March 2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>None to Date</td>
<td>Apr-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05 Coastal San Mateo County Drought Relief Phase II</td>
<td>San Mateo RCD</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>None to Date</td>
<td>Apr-19</td>
<td>Jun-19</td>
<td>draft #1 March 2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>None to Date</td>
<td>Jan-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06 SFQuito Creek Flood Damage Reduction &amp; Ecosystem Restoration Phase 2</td>
<td>SFQuito Creek JPA</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Dec-18</td>
<td>May-18</td>
<td>May-19</td>
<td>draft #1 May 2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>None to Date</td>
<td>Dec-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 SR View Shoreline Portion of SBSPR</td>
<td>State Coastal Conservancy</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>None to Date</td>
<td>Dec-19</td>
<td>Feb-20</td>
<td>draft #1 February 2020</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>None to Date</td>
<td>Sep-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08 Eden Landing Portion of SBSPR</td>
<td>State Coastal Conservancy</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>None to Date</td>
<td>Dec-20</td>
<td>Oct-20</td>
<td>draft #1 October 2020</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>None to Date</td>
<td>May-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09 Novato Creek Flood Protection and Habitat Enhancement</td>
<td>State Coastal Conservancy</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>None to Date</td>
<td>Dec-20</td>
<td>Dec-20</td>
<td>draft #1 December 2020</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>None to Date</td>
<td>Jul-21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NOTE: No projects that have committed to matching funds have billed against the grant to date.*
AQPI Update

Reporting, Invoices & Payments

- Report/Invoice #7 under review at DWR.
- Report/Invoice #8 under review at Sonoma Water

Budget/Schedule

- Expenses are tracking within the Budget and progress is in line with amendment 1 schedule

Other Developments

- The federal government shutdown has furloughed NOAA & USGS staff working on the project and has halted work under the project at both agencies. In addition to pausing ongoing work at the agencies, the data feed from NOAA was taken down and remained down during the recent storm events. The radars were/are operated by CSU staff and are still collecting data, however NWS and local partners do not have access to that data. Sonoma Water is working with CSU staff to build a redundant connection which should be active the week of January 23rd.
- We expect delays in project progress to carry on for several weeks after the shutdown ends as federal employees’ process the backlog of work created by the shutdown.
- SCVWD X-Band Radar – Estimated Installation date for permanent radar Jan/Feb 2019
- SCWA X-Band Radar – Estimated Installation date for permanent radar Feb 2019
- East Bay X-Band Radar – Estimated installation of container mounted radar September 2019
- SFPUC X-Band Radar – Estimated installation of container mounted radar September 2019
- Process of acquiring access to a C-Band that can be temporarily deployed next winter is in motion—at no additional cost to the project.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Schedule</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Release project summary form</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>18-Oct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWR deadlines: POC, PSP comments (12/14)</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>18-Dec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review prelim project forms</td>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>19-Jan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release DWR PIF template (1/7)</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>19-Feb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receive and track proposals (3/4)</td>
<td>7 days</td>
<td>19-Mar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluate proposals (3/11 - 4/8)</td>
<td>30 days</td>
<td>19-Apr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obtain support to develop application</td>
<td>90 days</td>
<td>19-May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assemble grant application</td>
<td>60 Days</td>
<td>19-Jun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWR Workshop (July 2019)</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>19-Jul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorporate comments/finalize application</td>
<td>8-12 weeks</td>
<td>19-Aug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWR review and approval</td>
<td>90 days</td>
<td>19-Sep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Execute Grant agreements with state</td>
<td>90 days</td>
<td>19-Oct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Execute grant agreements within region</td>
<td>90 days</td>
<td>19-Nov</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-Dec</td>
<td></td>
<td>20-Jan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-Feb</td>
<td></td>
<td>20-Feb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-Mar</td>
<td></td>
<td>20-Mar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-Apr</td>
<td></td>
<td>20-Apr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-May</td>
<td></td>
<td>20-May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-Jun</td>
<td></td>
<td>20-Jun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Agency</td>
<td>Functional Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Ritchie</td>
<td>SFPUC</td>
<td>Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Mendenhall</td>
<td>SCVWD</td>
<td>FP-SW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Boucher</td>
<td>CCCFCWCD</td>
<td>FP-SW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brenda Buxton</td>
<td>State Coastal Conservancy</td>
<td>Watershed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judy Kelly</td>
<td>NBWA</td>
<td>Watershed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Seedall</td>
<td>CCWD</td>
<td>WS-WQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheryl Munoz</td>
<td>SFPUC</td>
<td>WW-RW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robyn Navarra</td>
<td>Zone 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Choo</td>
<td>Marin County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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January 22, 2019

Karla Nemeth
Director
California Department of Water Resources
P.O. Box 942836, Room 1115-1
Sacramento, CA 94236-0001

E-transmitted to: cwpcm@water.ca.gov

Subject: Comments on public review draft of California Water Plan Update 2018

Dear Director Nemeth:

Writing on behalf of the statewide IRWM Roundtable of Regions, we thank you for the opportunity to comment on the public review draft (PRD) of California Water Plan Update 2018. The Roundtable comprises representatives of the 48 IRWM regions across California.

We appreciate the inclusive approach taken by the Department of Water Resources (DWR) prior to release of the PRD. DWR staff has been generous with its time in involving us and others as stakeholders. Our organization has been very involved with the Update over the past two years, reviewing and commenting on several drafts of the document. DWR also partnered with us to hold multiple IRWM-focused panel discussions at both the 2017 and 2018 Water Plan Plenary meetings. Many Roundtable members contributed a lot of time and effort to provide input for this important Update in the hope that it would reflect the vital role IRWM plays in sustaining and protecting California’s water resources and ensuring regional sustainability.

That said, we were disappointed to receive the PRD late last month after a long delay and find that it lacked much of the substance and context we have come to expect from the California Water Plan, particularly in the area of regional water management. Indeed, it reads like the executive summary of a more extensive document that does not appear to exist. The high-level comments that follow focus on how Integrated Regional Water Management is portrayed – or not – in Update 2018. We expect many individual Roundtable member agencies will send more detailed comments concerning the Update.

We are extremely disappointed by the fact that IRWM goes largely unmentioned in the PRD, even though it is a prominent theme in the past three Updates and is called out as one of the Actions in the California Water Action Plan. The State has invested more than $1.2 billion in grant funding
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since 2002 to support IRWM planning and implementation in California’s 48 IRWM regions; Proposition 1 (2014) designates another $510 million in IRWM grant funds. Significantly more money and resources have been invested by local and regional agencies and Tribes to support essential IRWM projects.

While IRWM is far more than just funding, we appreciate that Update 2018 acknowledges, on page 4-2, that IRWM “has delivered significant value and continues to be an effective way for the State to help fund local and regional activities. Regional water management groups (RWMG) are well-positioned in many areas to interact with the State to explore planning and funding innovations”. We suggest that this comment become a recommended action under Goal 1, Improve Integrated Watershed Management. This new recommended action should be worded along the lines of “Support IRWM as an effective way for the State to help fund local and regional efforts for sustainable water management.”

Also regarding Goal 1, we would prefer that it be renamed “Strengthen State Support for Integrated Regional Water Management.” The term used in Goal 1, “Integrated Watershed Management,” implies that integrated water management should occur on a watershed, not a regional, basis. California is a very diverse state. Integrated management limited to just a watershed scale isn’t appropriate in many planning regions. Each planning region should have the latitude to determine the appropriate scale on which to plan and act, as has been previously acknowledged and approved by the State, including in the Regional Water Management Planning Act of 2002. As the 2017 preliminary draft of this Update observed, “State, regional, local and tribal governance must align planning and implementation at effective geographic scales. The determination of the appropriate geographic scale should consider the interdependent physical (especially hydrologic), biological, economic and social processes and functions within each basin.”

Other comments regarding IRWM and Update 2018 include:

- **Recommended Action 1.1:** We strongly support this recommended action, which calls for “base-level support to help long-term stability of IRWM programs.” Strategy 2 (Strengthen Practices) of the Stakeholder Perspectives Document for IRWM recommends annual funding of $250,000 for each IRWM regional water management group “to help support key operations.” Implementation of this strategy would be a good way to execute Recommended Action 1.1. Furthermore, we propose that the second sentence of Recommendation Action 1.1 be revised to add the Roundtable of Regions to those organizations that DWR will coordinate with while preparing recommendations to “strengthen timely and meaningful communication with vulnerable communities to inform water resources management.” IRWM practitioners will bring valuable expertise and experience to this task.

- **Recommended Action 4.2:** We recommend that rather than beginning a separate effort, the State should build on the work of IRWM RWMGs, which have actively and fruitfully engaged with disadvantaged community (DAC) liaisons.

- **Recommended Action 5.3:** The State should include IRWM practitioners, through the Roundtable of Regions, as stakeholders in the identification of and engagement with regulatory challenges. Given their experience, IRWM practitioners are well-positioned to
coalesce regulators and stakeholders to address regulatory alignment issues as they relate to regional water management and improving regional outcomes.

In a more general sense, we strongly recommend that Update 2018 be aligned with the Stakeholder Perspectives Document, which includes numerous useful recommendations for how the State should support and strengthen IRWM in such areas as government and regulatory alignment, funding, improved processes, training and performance measurement. We also urge DWR to use the wealth of information it received from thought leaders and water management experts at the 2017 and 2018 Water Plan Plenary meetings. Panel sessions at the two plenaries addressed regulatory alignment needs to support IRWM, how RWMGs and nascent groundwater sustainability agencies may work better together, how water plan updates may leverage water management planning efforts at the regional level and identification of priorities for strengthening regional water management.

We also would appreciate more acknowledgement of and additional links to the 14 supporting documents listed in the PRD. We assume the more substantive information is in these documents. It should be easy for readers to access the supporting documents for reference, but it is not. Given the review period for the draft update, we will not have the opportunity to comment on the supporting documents, or even to review the nine documents listed as “in preparation.”

In closing, we are unsure whether to request a full-scale revision of the public review draft by the new administration or to recommend that work begin immediately on a more comprehensive Update 2023. In either case, the Roundtable of Regions looks forward to continuing our working relationship with DWR’s dedicated and helpful staff and to establishing a productive partnership with the Newsom Administration as we all work together in the furtherance of Integrated Regional Water Management to the benefit of our state.

Sincerely,

Lynn Rodriguez, Co-Chair
IRWM Roundtable of Regions
Watersheds Council of Ventura County

Mark Stadler, Interim Co-Chair
IRWM Roundtable of Regions
San Diego County Water Authority

cc.
Kris Tjernell
Art Hinojosa
Kamyar Guivetchi
Paul Massera
Lew Moeller
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>February 25, 2019</td>
<td>EBMUD (Large training room)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 25, 2019</td>
<td>CCWD (Delta conference room)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 22, 2019</td>
<td>SFPUC (2nd Floor O'Shaughnessy conference room)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 27, 2019</td>
<td>??</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>