BACWA SURVEY ON THE 2ND WATERSHED PERMIT OPTIONS

	BACWA SURVEY ON THE 2ND WATERSHED PERIVITY OPTIONS		
Statement #	Statements	Position	Responses
			(circle only one number)
	Given the likely provisions to be included in the 2nd Watershed Permit,		
	your agency would likely continue with the BACWA coaltion (the		
	alternative being to negotiate your own separate nutrient permit		
1	provisions with the Water Board)		
		strongly agree	5
		somewhat agree	4
		indifferent	3
		somewhat disagree	2
		strongly disagree	1
	Given the difference in costs to your agency for increasing the funding for		
	the nutrient science program it makes sense to pursue the Increase		
	Funding for science alternative in lieu of having a NNLI requirement in the		
2	next watersehd permit		
		strongly agree	5
		somewhat agree	4
		indifferent	3
		somewhat disagree	2
		strongly disagree	1
	Given the Water Board's interest at this time for increasing the funding		
	for the science program, it makes sense to negotiate now for an extension		
	of the existing permit (with the Increase Funding provision included) for		
	another 5 years which roughly equals 3 more years of certainty of your		
3	agency		
		strongly agree	5
		somewhat agree	4
		indifferent	3
		somewhat disagree	2
		strongly disagree	1

In lieu of having a NNLI requiremnt in the next watershed permit, my agency may be willing to significantly increase (perhaps double) its current Nutrient Surcharge for the purpose of increasing funding for the science program.

4	current Nutrient Surcharge for the purpose of increasing funding for the science program.		
		strongly agree	5
		somewhat agree	4
		indifferent	3
		somewhat disagree	2
		strongly disagree	1
	Even though it may be more complex and would take time to get BACWA		
	membership buy-in, my agency supports the Early Action alternative in		
	lieu of the NNLI in the next watershed permit rather than Increased		
5	Funding for the science program alternative		
		strongly agree	5
		somewhat agree	4
		indifferent	3
		somewhat disagree	2
		strongly disagree	1
	My agency would seriously consider early actions to reduce nutrients in		
	lieu of the NNLI in the next watershed without any incentives being		
6	offered by other POTWs who do not pursue early actions		
		strongly agree	5
		somewhat agree	4
		indifferent	3
		somewhat disagree	2
		strongly disagree	1

	My agency would seriously consider early actions to reduce nutrients in lieu of NNLI in the next watershed permit only if incentives were offered		
7	by other POTWs who do not pursue early actions		
		strongly agree	5
		somewhat agree	4
		indifferent	3
		somewhat disagree	2
		strongly disagree	1
	BACWA should use the results of this survey to develop a straw-man		
	proposal of key tenets for the 2nd watersehd permit, seek consensus of		
8	the BACWA membership, and then present that proposal to the Water Board.		
O	Dodi G.	strongly agree	5
		somewhat agree	4
		indifferent	3
		somewhat disagree	2
		strongly disagree	1
	BACWA should continue dialogue with the Water Board and wait and see		
9	what the 2nd draft of the watershed permit looks like before entering into negotiations		
		strongly agree	5
		somewhat agree	4
		indifferent	3
		somewhat disagree	2
		strongly disagree	1
		- · · ·	

AGENCY NAME (optional):