
Q1 What is your main reason for attending the annual meeting? Please rank your choices, choosing 5 as the MOST important reason and 1 as the LEAST important reason (or adding our own reason).






no label)						
	5	4	3	2	1	Total
Learn about BACWA activities in the last year	15.00%	35.00% 14	40.00% 16	7.50%	2.50%	4
Learn about regulatory developments	72.50% 29	15.00%	10.00% 4	2.50%	0.00% 0	4
Network with agency staff	26.83%	24.39% 10	31.71% 13	9.76% 4	7.32%	2
Other - please Comment	20.00%	20.00%	0.00% 0	60.00%	0.00% 0	

#	Comments	Date
1	Since I am actively involved in BACWA, I am aware of most of the activities. I attend the annual meeting primarily to hear from the state and federal regulators.	2/7/2017 10:18 AM
2	Learn about things coming in the next year.	2/2/2017 8:16 AM
3	I am a committee chair and felt I should definitely attend due to my role.	2/2/2017 7:14 AM
4	Learning the perspective of some of the regulators.	2/2/2017 6:28 AM
5	Network BACWA member staff	2/1/2017 7:24 PM

Q2 How satisfied were you overall with the presentations?






Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Satisfied	53.66%	22
Somewhat Satisfied	29.27%	12
Satisfied	17.07%	7
Dissatisfied	0.00%	0
Total		41

#	Comment/Suggestions for Future Presentation Topics	Date
1	Some of the Committee reports needed to better explain the relevance of the details as they explain it to the general audience.	2/8/2017 1:50 PM
2	Status update on what agencies are doing on their own (pilots, studies, etc.) to supplement BACWA work.	2/8/2017 9:30 AM
3	I realize that Nutrients are the trending topic, but I would like to hear more about other key issues, particularly biosolids.	2/7/2017 10:18 AM
4	Critical review of monitoring frequencies - Acute and chronic toxicity - Pretreatment and biosolids	2/2/2017 8:44 AM
5	I know there is limited time, but there may be some under served representation for all the committees. I don't recall hearing any update from the collections or pretreatment committees. As we heard from the regional board, they will be focusing on collection systems and overflows.	2/2/2017 8:16 AM
6	Just less nutrients. I know it is an important topic but would like to hear about other BACWA activities. Maybe move some of the final presentations throughout the day to break up the nutrient bloc.	2/2/2017 7:37 AM
7	Cross-cutting issues and conflicting regulations.	2/1/2017 7:49 PM

Q3 What topics did you find MOST useful and/or interesting? Please rank your choices, choosing 5 as the MOST useful and/or interesting and 1 as the LEAST useful and/or interesting.

Answered: 41 Skipped: 0




	5	4	3	2	1	Total
Introduction and Year in Review	25.81%	12.90%	38.71%	16.13%	6.45%	
	8	4	12	5	2	;
BAAQMD Update	28.21%	43.59%	15.38%	10.26%	2.56%	
	11	17	6	4	1	
EPA Priorities	56.41%	23.08%	15.38%	2.56%	2.56%	
	22	9	6	1	1	
State Water Resources Control Board Priorities	52.50%	25.00%	12.50%	10.00%	0.00%	
	21	10	5	4	0	
Regional Water Quality Control Board Priorities	61.54%	25.64%	10.26%	0.00%	2.56%	
	24	10	4	0	1	
Nutrients - Overview - Progress on 1st Watershed Permit/Governance Update	27.03%	35.14%	32.43%	2.70%	2.70%	
	10	13	12	1	1	
Nutrients - Regulatory Update - Optimization/Upgrade Studies	38.46%	30.77%	15.38%	12.82%	2.56%	
	15	12	6	5	1	
BACWA Committee Recognition	10.81%	29.73%	35.14%	18.92%	5.41%	
•	4	11	13	7	2	

BACWA Financial/Organizational Outlook	8.33%	30.56%	22.22% 8	30.56%	8.33%	3
Nutrients - Technical Update - SFEI Study Findings & Science Plan	34.21%	34.21%	21.05%	5.26%	5.26%	
	13	13	8	2	2	3
lutrients - Regulatory Update - Preview of the 2nd Watershed Permit	34.29%	31.43%	31.43%	2.86%	0.00%	
	12	11	11	1	0	3
BACWA Hot Topics - Biosolids	34.29%	20.00%	40.00%	5.71%	0.00%	
	12	7	14	2	0	
BACWA Hot Topics - Recycling	17.14%	34.29%	42.86%	5.71%	0.00%	
	6	12	15	2	0	
BACWA Hot Topics - TNI Lab Standards	26.47%	32.35%	29.41%	5.88%	5.88%	
	9	11	10	2	2	
BACWA Hot Topics - AIR Issues/CWCCG	37.14%	20.00%	37.14%	5.71%	0.00%	
	13	7	13	2	0	
BACWA Hot Topics - CEC Participation	20.59%	29.41%	29.41%	14.71%	5.88%	
	7	10	10	5	2	

#	Optional Comments:	Date
1	Speakers were great	2/8/2017 9:30 AM
2	hot topics at the end got the short shrift. Committee intros was too long and the accomplishments too brief. Maybe a hand out would work better.	2/6/2017 5:00 PM

Q4 Did you find the overall length of the meeting to be appropriate? If not, please explain.






Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	97.50%	39
No (please include comment)	2.50%	1
Total		40

#	Comment	Date
1	Really enjoyed the individual committee updates, those were not until the end of the day but I found them very interesting.	2/9/2017 8:45 AM
2	Maybe a little too long. Nutrients is getting too detailed. David Senn, while fascinating, is presenting too much detail.	2/6/2017 5:00 PM
3	Maybe presentations should continue through lunch. A lot of people started leaving in the early afternoon to beat traffic and missed out on info.	2/2/2017 8:16 AM

Q5 Would you recommend that we continue to have the meeting at this venue? (Metropolitan Golf Course Pavilion) Please explain and/or suggest another venue and why it would be better.






Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	38.46%	15
No (please include comment)	61.54%	24
Total		39

#	Comment	Date
1	Nice but a little noisy	2/13/2017 10:52 AM
2	Loved the location, room and parking only thing that was a little distracting was the planes and it was cold. If they could do noise control and heat up the place it would be perfect.	2/9/2017 8:45 AM
3	Too noisy, venue not conducive to presentations	2/8/2017 8:09 PM
4	horrible audio horrible HVAC Good center for everyone, but needs some help	2/8/2017 7:54 PM
5	Airport noise was extremely distracting.	2/8/2017 3:30 PM
6	Airplane noise. Keep looking!	2/8/2017 2:47 PM
7	Fly over path created too many instances when the speaker could not be heard. Acoustics were terrible. When the ventilation unit was turned on, could not hear speaker.	2/8/2017 1:47 PM
8	Interruptions from airplanes, cold, small screen, propane leak, glare from sun on west side of tent.	2/8/2017 9:30 AM
9	The tent setting was not ideal in winter weather. The airplane noise was distracting. The food was good, the parking was good, and the site was easy to travel to.	2/7/2017 10:18 AM
10	environment (heat and noise) weren't good, especially the airplanes. The projector screen was too small to be of any value	2/6/2017 5:00 PM
11	Cold and gas leaked into the tent; screen was too small for people in the back to adequately see and follow along with the presentations.	2/6/2017 7:26 AM
12	Room was cold and we vwere lucky that it wasn't raining.	2/3/2017 8:33 AM
13	It was cold, the planes were distracting	2/2/2017 4:07 PM

14	Bathrooms too far from the Tent Airplane noise extremely annoying	2/2/2017 1:56 PM
15	Great place, except it was a little noisy	2/2/2017 10:11 AM
16	Venue worked out fine, but finding a way to make the screen projection slightly larger would probably be helpful.	2/2/2017 10:07 AM
17	Too cold and noisy (although the food service was great)	2/2/2017 9:29 AM
18	(without the gas leak!)	2/2/2017 9:07 AM
19	would prefer BART accessible jets were a little distracting	2/2/2017 8:44 AM
20	If we return, warn people that we will be in a tent and it might be cold. I wished I had worn warmer clothes. And make sure they figure out the gas issue - fumes were an issue.	2/2/2017 8:40 AM
21	The meeting space was not so great for three reasons: (1) the screen was too small for viewing presentations, (2) the chairs were super uncomfortable, and (3) the noise level from planes was too high. Finally, I would also prefer a meeting space that is Bart accessible. On a positive note, the food spread was great.	2/2/2017 8:24 AM
22	There was frequent noise interruption from the airport and at one point I think we were in danger of blowing up from a gas leak. Plus side, parking was abundant. Consider San Rafael in the future. Centrally located for those coming from north/northeast bay and a reverse commute for many in the afternoon.	2/2/2017 8:16 AM
23	Yes, though it was challenging to see the presentation screen, and heating was an issue.	2/2/2017 8:07 AM
24	Someplace walkable from BART would be better	2/2/2017 8:01 AM
25	Geographically, venue was good, but hold it INDOORS. An open tent in the middle of winter between an airport and a highway is too loud and too cold. Seating was uncomfortable and needed wider spacing.	2/2/2017 7:42 AM
26	But make sure no gas leaks next year!	2/2/2017 7:39 AM
27	The seating was very uncomfortable after a long day.	2/2/2017 7:37 AM
28	The chairs were uncomfortable no lower back support. And the temperature couldn't be maintained at a comfortable level.	2/2/2017 7:14 AM
29	Although location was easy to get to and somewhat scenic, the airplanes were too loud, not to mention the propane incident.	2/2/2017 7:00 AM
30	It's central destination for all BACWA members; and if the move was based on financial considerations, it's understandable. However, the fly-over noise and the gas leak, leave things to be desired	2/2/2017 6:52 AM
31	I would give them another try at it. The propane gas smell was a little disturbing and the airplanes going over wasn't great but that didn't detract too much. Food was good, space was FANTASTIC, parking was also very good!	2/2/2017 6:28 AM
32	Worst location, venue, airplane noise etc. Let's go back to. Proper venue like the SFWQCB board room in Oakland.	2/1/2017 11:10 PM
33	Gas smell issue and not enough ability to open more door areas for ventilation. Airplane noise. Maybe next year at a spot walkable from Bart. Lot of traffic in that area. but definitely more room than last year's venue!	2/1/2017 7:49 PM
34	Propane leak	2/1/2017 7:24 PM

Q6 What is the most important benefit that you receive from BACWA membership? Please rank your choices, choosing 5 as the MOST beneficial and 1 as the LEAST beneficial.

Answered: 38 Skipped: 3




	5	4	3	2	1	Tota
Regulatory updates and advocacy	63.16%	21.05%	15.79%	0.00%	0.00%	
	24	8	6	0	0	3
loint advocacy on regulatory issues through BACWA rather than as individual agencies	73.68%	7.89%	13.16%	5.26%	0.00%	
	28	3	5	2	0	;
Meeting permit requirements through joint efforts on watershed permits vs. individual permits	62.16%	18.92%	5.41%	8.11%	5.41%	
	23	7	2	3	2	
Training opportunities	14.29%	25.71%	28.57%	11.43%	20.00%	
	5	9	10	4	7	(
Information sharing with other agencies	51.35%	29.73%	13.51%	5.41%	0.00%	
	19	11	5	2	0	;
Other (please specify)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
V 1 27	0	0	0	0	0	

#	Optional Comments:	Date
1	The committee meetings I attend regularly are really helpful for my position, they provide informative discussions on all types of relevant topics. I liked the amount of time for each speaker not too long on any one topic.	2/9/2017 8:45 AM

Q7 What BACWA events did you attend in 2016?





nswer Choices	Responses	
Committee meetings	76.92%	30
Workshops	61.54%	24
Workgroups	23.08%	9
Executive Board meetings	56.41%	22
2016 Annual meeting	89.74%	35
Other: (please specify)	0.00%	0
otal Respondents: 39		

#	Other: (please specify)	Date
	There are no responses.	

Q8 What could BACWA do to better serve your agency?

Answered: 10 Skipped: 31

#	Responses	Date
1	Nothing I can think of.	2/9/2017 8:45 AM
2	BACWA is great! Keep up the good work!	2/8/2017 3:30 PM
3	It's pretty good right now. Biosolids seems to be a hot topic; perhaps that committee could give a presentation or info to Permits as a way to attract more energy.	2/8/2017 2:47 PM
4	Post budgetary estimates/increase	2/8/2017 1:50 PM
5	Doing a good job.	2/8/2017 9:30 AM
6	Have a representative on the board from the non-principal agencies.	2/7/2017 10:18 AM
7	More on air regs and interaction among medias (air, water, land)	2/3/2017 10:59 AM
8	Stronger advocacy against nutrient limits given the absence of current and likely near term impairment attributable to nutrients	2/2/2017 8:44 AM
9	Most BACWA members are also CASA members, but not all. If there are legislative issues or pending issues that affect member agencies, maybe they could be highlighted in the monthly update email or some other forum.	2/2/2017 8:16 AM
10	BACWA is very supportive.	2/2/2017 7:37 AM

Q9 Any other suggestions for improving the meeting?

Answered: 10 Skipped: 31

#	Responses	Date
1	Just heat and a little more time for networking. I like the flow of the meeting and the time on each topic is good.	2/9/2017 8:45 AM
2	Better location and larger screen.	2/8/2017 9:30 AM
3	It would be good if more executive managers attending the meeting, but I'm not sure how to structure the event to encourage them to attend. Most of the attendees appeared to be the same people that are involved in committees.	2/7/2017 10:18 AM
4	Different venue. More time for committee accomplishments that have general interest.	2/6/2017 5:00 PM
5	Allow more networking	2/2/2017 10:11 AM
6	Add something juicy at the end to keep the group together for the entire meeting, rather than have ~50% leave in the early afternoon.	2/2/2017 9:07 AM
7	Keep up the great work.	2/2/2017 8:07 AM
8	Not schedule on a Friday	2/2/2017 7:39 AM
9	No	2/2/2017 7:37 AM
10	I really enjoyed David Senn's presentation he is a good speaker and the information is fascinating.	2/2/2017 7:14 AM